

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,100	10/22/2003	Viktors Berstis	AT9-99-715B 4770	
Brian F. Russ	7590 04/06/2007 Brian F. Russell, Esq.		EXAMINER	
Bracewell & Patterson, L.L.P.			BAYERL, RAYMOND J	
P.O. Box 969 Austin, TX 78	767-0969		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
A	10/691,100	BERSTIS, VIKTORS			
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raymond J. Bayerl	2173			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.					
1. This communication is responsive to <u>application as filed/amended, 22 October 2003</u> .					
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 12 - 14, 23 - 38, all other claims	s canceled.				
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)	been received. been received in Application No				
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.					
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.					
 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). 					
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.					
Adda all man and/a)					
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	8) 6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date 7. ☑ Examiner's Amendment/Comment				
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date					
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. X Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance			
· ·	<u></u>	AYMOND J. BAYERL RIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 08-06) Serial Number: 10/691,100 Page 2

Art Unit: 2173

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

In the Specification:

Page 1, line 1—the phrase "currently pending" has been replaced by "now US Patent no. 6,720,981 B1".

This amendment to the specification updated the reference back to the parent of the present divisional application.

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Examiner has carefully considered each of applicant's 3 independent claims 12, 27, 33, in which a "browser displaying a web page" responds to "receiving a web object belonging to a web page" by "automatically zooming out" to show "a full page view of the entire web page", and then "zooming in to said current view" where "less than a full page view" is seen (claim 12 quoted as exemplary). After such consideration and a search of the relevant prior art, the Examiner deems the claims to be patentable over the prior art now made of record, whether alone or in combination.

As applicant notes in the specification, the reference Berstis et al. (US #5,896,132), while allowing the user to perceive the presence of additional content beyond the zoomed in view that only holds a portion of the overall page, does not enter

Serial Number: 10/691,100 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

into the useful details of a zoom-out upon item addition followed at completion by a zoom-in.

The scaling adaptation of web pages as a general matter **was** known in the art, as in Kanevsky (US #6,300,947 B1) and White et al. (US #2002/0,021,308 A1), only the scaling taught in these references is for the purpose of fitting the content to a particular kind of display screen, and not to assist the user in knowing about overall web page size. A similar line of reasoning applies to Qureshi et al. (US #6,456,305 B1), where the sizing of web page components is simply to adapt to a particular browser window, and not responsive to objects arriving that are to be added to the web page as per the present claims.

There are of course examples of scaled web pages that **are** intended to provide a view as to overall page context, as is seen in Bates et al. (US #6,585,776 B1), where document content is depicted in such a way as to facilitate more ready access within a hierarchy, and Fortin et al. (US #2002/0,023,110 A1), in which a diagram may be seen at a variety of zoom levels, as the user sees fit in browsing a structure of nodes. However, the scaling in these references also fails to occur in response to arriving items as they are added to the web page, on an automatic basis, followed by a reversion to a closer-viewing scale when the arrival is completed.

Finally worthy of mention is Pasquali (US #6,272,493 B1), in which a display contains an overall context of content-containing window objects, as does the complete document of the present claims, with a goal to facilitate better user access to the content. However, there is no apparent "zooming out" or "zooming in" in the reference,

Serial Number: 10/691,100 Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

except to the extent that the user might happen to have a set of the well-known positive/negative "magnifying glass" buttons for a screen view, in which case it is user decision, and not web-page item arrival, that governs the scaling.

- 4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Raymond J. Bayerl, whose telephone number is (571) 272-4045. The Examiner can normally be reached on M Th from 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM ET.
- 6. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached at 571-272-4063. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by FAX **must be directed** to the central FAX number (571) 273-8300.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application of proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173

2 April 2007